Sweep-Twist Adaptive Blade
(as presented at EWEC_07, Milan, IT)

Tom Ashwill
Technical Staff Member
Wind Energy Department
Sandia National Laboratories

Gary Kanaby
Director of Blade Manufacturing
Knight & Carver

May 7, 2007
SNL Blade Activities

• Current focus is on innovative blade research
• Concepts to lighten blades for larger rotors
  – slender planforms with thicker airfoils
  – new materials (carbon)
• Concepts for high quality and more reliable blades
  – material forms
  – advanced manufacturing processes
• Concepts for load alleviation
  – passive bend-twist coupling with off-axis fibers or geometric sweep
  – active load control
• Concepts for more efficient performance
  – aerodynamic (flatbacks)
  – structural (thicker airfoils & more efficient designs - i.e. constant spar cap thickness)

All of These Concepts Are Efforts to Sweep More Area at About the Same Cost
Sandia Prototype Blades

- CX-100 (carbon spar)
- BSDS (slender planform & thicker airfoils)
- TX-100 (bend-twist)
Knight & Carver Sweep-Twist Project

- A project with K&C entitled “Sweep-Twist Adaptive Blade” began in 11/05
- Goal – use geometric sweep to reduce loads in turbulent high winds, especially
- Allows a large rotor and new set of blades for same turbine (Test Turbine = Zond 750)
Design Window, Tools & Parametric Variations

**Design**
- Design constrained to operational window of Z-750 baseline
- Analytical calculations
  - FAST, ADAMS, CFD, FEM

**General Parameters**
- Rotor speed (RPM)
- Blade stiffness (EI)
- Spanwise mass
- Chordwise mass
- Total blade sweep
- Sweep curve exponent

**Parameters for Fine Tuning**
- Planform
- Airfoil thickness vs. span
- Sweep magnitude & curve
- Spar cap position & sizing
- Airfoil panel composition
- Materials & process
- Root forward sweep
Design for Practicality

• 2.2m sweep still allows for 3 blades on trailer
• Sweep curve eases skin curvature
• Exotic/expensive materials avoided
• Uses existing root mold for ~60% of area
• Match moments to existing blades
**STAR7d (Final Configuration) vs Major Design Targets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAR7d</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axis mass moment (kg-m)</td>
<td>19,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max power deflection</td>
<td>56.6”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatwise frequency</td>
<td>3.75p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgewise frequency</td>
<td>4.57p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatwise loads</td>
<td>Similar to existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch moment</td>
<td>Similar to existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>Fiberglass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pitch Moment Probability Density

- STAR7d IECA 10 m/s seed 1
- STAR7d with 2.2m tip sweep, 2.5 exponent, and 0.75° forward sweep
- BASE6 10 m/s seed 2
- EU51 IECA 11 m/s seed 1
Load Probability Density

![Graph showing load probability density curves for different conditions](graph_image)
STAR7d Planform

56 m Rotor
Glass Spar
Uni Roving
E = 6 msi

Root Axis Tip Sweep (m) = 2.20
(lms) = 87
Root Axis Forward Sweep (deg) = 1.5
Sweep Curve Exponent = 2.5 (2 is circular arc)
Unit Curve Depth = 0.33
Deepest At = 54%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weighted Twist*</th>
<th>Tip Twist</th>
<th>Max Shear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(deg)</td>
<td>(deg)</td>
<td>(psi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&amp;C GlassSpar</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: X axis is approximate blade pitch axis
Bend depth is the maximum offset of the local blade axis relative to a straight line from the axis at the root to the axis at the tip

* Segment twists weighted by fraction of disk area affected
Section at 55% Span & Root
Attachment
FEM Model Overview

• FE model generated using in-house script. Interior nodes located based on material definition data.
  – Linear material properties.
  – Linear geometry solution.

# Elements = 87,728
# Nodes = 123,052
Total DOFs = 394,734

Run time = 4 minutes on HP Itanium
Twist Angle - Comparison

FEM predicts more twist than ADAMS but the applied thrust loads are higher.

- ADAMS - GLOBAL = 3.79° at 100%
- FEM - GLOBAL = 4.16° at 97.6%
- ADAMS - LOCAL = 3.45° at 100%
- FEM - LOCAL = 3.83° at 97.6%
Summary and Conclusions

- **Deflection and EI Predictions**
  - FEM matches section analysis very well for flapwise and edgewise bending deflections and stiffnesses.

- **Twist and GJ Predictions**
  - FEM predicts more twist than ADAMS but the applied thrust loads are higher.
  - FEM matches section analysis well for torsional stiffness (GJ) outboard of 35%.

- **Strain Predictions**
  - FEM strain predictions are ~12% lower than predicted by section analysis.
  - Strains are generally low and indicate a stiffness-driven design.

- **Buckling Analysis**
  - Closed-form and FE-based analysis both show high margins of safety for buckling.

The FEM successfully confirms key results from section analysis and shows that there are no 3D structural issues with the swept design.
STAR Airfoil Series

STAR-1520-0018

STAR-1700-0021

STAR-2000-0054

STAR-2300-0088

STAR-2615-0123

STAR-3500-0545

STAR-4000-0621
3-D Navier-Stokes Modeling
CFD Blade Tip Grid Topology
Power Curve Comparison

![Power Curve Comparison Graph](image)
Mold Development
Blade Lay-up & Final Fabrication with Hangars Installed
Completed Laboratory Testing

- **Balance Test**
  - Blade Mass (m)
  - Blade Static Moment (kg-m)

- **Template Test**
  - External Geometry
  - Airfoil Contours

- **Frequency Test**
  - Flatwise Frequency (Hz)
  - Edgewise Frequency (Hz)

- **Static Proof Test**
  - Bending Strain ($\mu s$)
  - Shear Strain ($\mu s$)
  - Deflection (mm)
  - Twist (degrees)

See Summary for Some Results
Laboratory Test Setup
Strain Gauge Installation & Static Proof Testing
Summary

• STAR7d swept blade length is 27.1 m
  – 2.6 m longer than 24.5 m baseline
• STAR7d is predicted to have same loads & grow energy capture by 5-8% compared to baseline straight blades
• Static testing of first prototype blade showed good match of natural frequencies & achievement of twist angles on the high side of predictions
  – This is good news because it appears that reality is quite close to the FEM predictions & swept blade can be expected to shed loads better than was credited in the first pass design
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