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Wind Energy Market Overview
Wind Turbine Market Overview:
Projected Market Growth

Wind Turbine Market Overview:
Estimated Fiberglass Usage in Current Market

- Estimated 2003 worldwide installation of 8,965 MW:
  - Over 110 million kg (120,000 metric ton) finished fiberglass structure
  - $1.3 billion in blade “sales”
- Market growth averaged 35.7% yearly over past 5 years
- Predicted average annual growth rates of 11% next 5 years, 14% following 5
Wind Turbine Market Overview:
Potential for Future Carbon Fiber Usage

- Initially focused on largest turbine sizes (> 2.5 MW)
- Near-term demand highly dependent on success of Vestas V90 prototypes > extent of and timing of serial production
- Fiber demand in 500 to 1,000 ton range realistic for 2004
- Future depends on decisions by major turbine manufacturers and viability of multi-MW machines:
  - GE Wind, GAMESA, Mitsubishi, REpower, Pfleiderer, Nordex, Enercon, LM
- Trend toward larger machines, offshore, could cause carbon demand could grow faster than overall market
Approximate Breakout of Turbine System Masses

- Tower: 50-60%
- Other Components: 10%
- Blades: 10%
- Non-Blade Rotor: 7%
- Drivetrain: 7%
- Balance Nacelle: 9%
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Approximate Breakout of Turbine System Costs

- Transportation, Erection & Assembly: 5-10%
- Other Components: 10-15%
- Tower: 10-15%
- Balance of Station: 20%
- Blades: 10-15%
- Nacelle: 10%
- Drivetrain: 20%
- Non-Blade Rotor: 5-10%
- Balance of Station: 20%
- Transportation, Erection & Assembly: 5-10%
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Bottom Line Figure of Merit: Cost of Energy

\[ COE = \left( \frac{FCR \times ICC}{AEP_{Net}} \right) + AOE \]

Where:
- \( COE \) = Levelized Cost of Energy ($/kWh)
- \( ICC \) = Initial Capital Cost ($)
- \( AEP_{Net} \) = Net Annual Energy Production (kWh/yr)
- \( FCR \) = Fixed Charge Rate (1/yr)
- \( AOE \) = Annual Operating Expenses (O&M, replacement, land)
Current Design and Manufacturing Trends for Large Wind Turbine Blades
Representative Blade Structure

- Sandwich skins
- Spar caps
- Forward shear web
- Box-spar structure: fabricated separately or with caps integral with skins
Trends / Design Drivers for MW-Scale Blades

- Historic use of low-cost materials & manufacturing
  - Open mold, wet lay-up
  - Majority of production now infusion and/or prepreg materials
- Emerging design drivers:
  - Gravity-induced bending loads
  - Stiffness
  - Transportation
  - Continued motivation for load-reducing designs
- Carbon employed in spars for some MW-scale designs
Wide Range of Turbine Sizes – Corresponding “Optimal” Materials & Manufacturing Processes
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Evolution to Current MW-Scale Designs
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Sandia Blade Technology Workshop
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Gravity Loading:
mitigated by geometry changes & blade weight reduction
Transportation:
length, maximum dimension, &
# per load all cost / feasibility drivers
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Mass Growth Trends for Commercial Blades (primarily fiberglass)
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Opportunities and Challenges for Increased Carbon Fiber Usage
“Optimal” Use of Carbon Fiber

• Bulk replacement of load-bearing spar cap
  – European and U.S. studies estimate 32-38% reductions in mass and 14-16% decrease in blade cost
  – Substantial reductions in tip deflection under load
  – Carbon replacement can be over select spanwise region

• Innovative (load mitigating) blade designs:
  – Slender planforms
  – Aeroelastic tailoring (bend-twist coupling)
Concept for biased carbon-fiberglass blade skins

glass, double bias

20°

Integral carbon/glass hybrid skin (biased)

Core/spar cap unidirectional
DOE-Funded R&D for Carbon Fiber Blade Technologies

• DOE/MSU Database Program
• Sandia/GEC WindPACT BSDS:
  – 4 material styles presently in thin-coupon evaluation
  – Prepreg and infused carbon materials
  – Test matrix includes thick specimens, ply drops, ply joints
• Sandia/TPI/GEC/MDZ 9-meter carbon-glass hybrid prototypes
  – Conventional carbon prototypes built early 2004
  – Twist-coupled prototypes built late 2004
• SBIR Phase II
  – GEC/TPI/MDZ
  – K. Wetzel/WSU/GE Wind
Challenges for Increased Carbon Fiber Use

- Baseline cost for fiberglass blades is relatively low
- No apparent fundamental limit to continued fiberglass use
- Cost-of-entry is high for MW-scale blade manufacturing & several years operation before new technologies are “proven” – risk aversion is high
- Majority of large blade manufactures use infusion process, but desirable performance from carbon fiber favors use of prepreg materials
- Cost of energy (COE) reductions limited via blade improvements alone
Opportunities for Increased Carbon Fiber Use

- COE reductions through blade innovations – key is increased energy capture with load mitigation
- Near-term opportunities for carbon highly dependent on success of Vestas V90 turbine
- Benefits from carbon fiber increase for very large machines (e.g. offshore)
- Because of trend toward larger turbines, growth of carbon fiber demand should be substantially larger than overall wind market